EIS000571

	5	In the future, and now, research functions,
3	6	like the statistics and stuff, are basically done on the
	7	computer. What happens if, say, 20, 30 years, we no
	8	longer have access to computers? Then what are we
	9	supposed to do? How are we supposed to determine if these
	10	earthquakes are going to come, how high they are going to
	11	be? Perhaps like there may be volcanic activity or
	12	something. And the stuff gets under the ground and gets
	13	on these barrels, and obviously, volcanic activity is
	14	going to break these. There is no stopping that.
	1.2	Then on the issue of volcanoes, the Southern
	16	Nevada Volcanic Field states this area has long history of
	17	volcanic activity. The mountain itself is a collapsed
	18	caldera and is surrounded by a dozen extinct volcanos.
	19	Recently they have found cinder cones which are showing
	20	evidence of relatively recent active volcano activity. So
	21	I mean, we're already finding these things.
	22	Perhaps when this site is built, we'll have a
	23	very active volcano, and then if it gets under these
	24	things, people living in that area and far from it are
	25	pretty much going to be infected from it, and our area
	1	will be contaminated.
5	2	Gaseous pathways for radionuclides. The
	3	volcanic tufts of Yucca Mountain are highly fractured and
	4	faulted already, presenting the pathway for gaseous
	5	nuclides to escape into the environment.
	6	Such fracture conductivity to the surface has
	7	already been documented at the Yucca site. So we're
	8	already finding cracks at this site and stuff. So what

happens if we put these containers under the ground and 9 ...5 they get cracked somehow and once again it gets out to the 10 environment and it contaminates? 11 And I feel we haven't considered all of our 12 Another thing we could consider is dry storage 13 resources. 6 to let it cool off before we deposit it. And then there's 14 less chance of it cracking, et cetera, and contaminating 15 our environment. 16 I don't believe this next statement is true 17 7 18 at all. But in the previous session - I came to the one earlier, too - and I actually had a representative to go 19 20 so far as to tell me that radiation is good for our immune 21 system and good for our bodies. If this is so good for 22 our bodies, why aren't people taking their kids and their families and moving up to where radioactivity happens? If 23 it is supposedly going to make us better and it is going 2.4 25 to be good for our bodies, why are we staying away from 1 it? Why do we not want this to happen? If it is good for us, we could care less if they built the site there. We 2 could care less about contamination. 3 So my opinion, I think the whole thing about 4 this representative telling me it is good for us is just 5 basically a bunch of BS, to put it in layman's terms. 6 7 So I am like firmly That's pretty much it. 8... 8 against Yucca Mountain. I am firmly against them putting 9 the repository site there because I don't personally want my family and friends and just other people living in 10 11 Nevada and stuff, I don't want them to be contaminated and

EIS000571

8	12	get sick and perhaps die. It's just not right, and that
9	13	is totally the wrong spot.
9	14	And plus that, I feel we don't have all of
	15	the facts. I don't think the representatives or the
ı	16	government is telling us every single thing we need to
	17	know. And I also agree with everything everybody else
	18	here has said, and so I'm strongly against it. Thank you.